From: Bussard, David [Bussard.David@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 2/25/2016 8:51:30 PM

To: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]

Subject: Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?

Attachments: FA\_DevRepro\_120215 2-12-16 SM incomplete\_DBcmts.docx

I took a shot and how we could make the table on conclusions in repro/dev easier to read. **LOOK ONLY AT the section on male repro at the end** (that is the only one I change, as a sort of trial balloon) — about page 42 or so.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

So, I took a shot for this one of creating subheaders in effect, underlined effect types, and then bullets of studies. I think it makes it much easier to see for each type of endpoint if there is consistent evidence for that endpoint or not.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

What do you think?

David